

Irreducible Representations for Toroidal Lie-algebras

S. Eswara Rao

School of Mathematics

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research

Homi Bhabha Road

Mumbai - 400 005 India

email: senapati@math.tifr.res.in

Introduction Let $\mathcal{G}$ be simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. The universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ are called toroidal Lie algebras. For $n = 1$ they are precisely the affine Kac-Moody Lie-algebras so that toroidal Lie-algebras are generalisations of affine Kac-Moody Lie-algebras. The major difference in the toroidal case is that the centre is infinite dimensional unlike the affine case where the centre is one dimensional. This poses a major difficulty in studying representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras. The toroidal Lie-algebras are naturally $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded and there is an infinite dimensional centre with non-zero degree. Generally in an irreducible representation the non-zero degree central operator does not act as scalars but acts as a invertible central operators. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that the study of irreducible representation for toroidal Lie-algebra is reduced to the study of irreducible representation where the infinite centre acts as scalars.

We now give a more details of the paper. We fix an integer $n \geq 2$. Let $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial in n commuting variables. We consider the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A_n$ and add degree derivations $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ which we call the toroidal Lie-algebra τ . (see section 1). Let V be irreducible representation for the toroidal Lie-algebra with finite dimensional weight spaces. We prove in section 1 that such a representation is actually a representation for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$ up to an automorphism of τ (see 1.12).

In the process we prove an important result which is independent interest. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be the quotient of τ by non-zero degree central elements. Then $\bar{\tau}$ does not admit representations with finite dimensional weight spaces where some zero degree central operator acts non-trivially (Corollary (1.11)). See [BC], [MJT] and [F] where representations for $\bar{\tau}$ are studied.

We prove in section 2 that the above irreducible representation admits an irreducible quotient V_1 for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ (Theorem (2.5)). Note that

the quotient is only graded by d_n which comes from the gradation of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}}$. In this quotient the central operators act by scalars (Lemma (3.1)).

In the last section we give a $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D$ module structure on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ and prove complete reducibility in Proposition (3.8). In Theorem (3.9) we prove that the original module V is isomorphic to a component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. In fact all components are isomorphic up to a grade shift. Thus we conclude that the study of irreducible representations for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces is reduced to the study of irreducible representation for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ with finite dimensional weight spaces where the centre acts as scalars.

It may be appropriate to mention that irreducible integrable representations for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces are classified by the author in [E3] and [E4]. See also [Y]. The integrable modules are not completely reducible. Indecomposable modules which are not necessarily irreducible has been studied by [CT]. Further vertex representations are constructed and studied in [MEY], [EM], [E1], [JMT] and [CO].

Section 1 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Let $(,)$ be $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 2$. Let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring in n commuting variables. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $t^{\underline{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_n^{m_n} \in A$. For any vector space V , let $V_A = V \otimes A$. Let $v(\underline{m}) = v \otimes t^{\underline{m}}$. Let $Z = \Omega_A/d_A$ be the module of differentials. That is Z is spanned by vectors $t^{\underline{m}}K_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with a relation.

$$(1.1) \quad \sum m_i t^{\underline{m}} K_i = 0.$$

Let $\tau = \mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z \oplus D$ where D is spanned by $d_1, \dots, d_n$. We will now define a Lie algebra structure on τ .

(1.2) F or $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}, \underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(1) $[X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] = [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}) + (X, Y)d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}$ where $d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}} = \sum r_i t^{\underline{r} + \underline{s}} K_i$.

(2) Z is central in $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z$.

(3) $[d_i, X(\underline{r})] = r_i X(\underline{r})$, $[d_i, d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}] = (r_i + s_i)d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}$, $[d_i, d_j] = 0$.

Proposition (3.1) ([MY], [K]). $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z$ is the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A$.

(1.4) Let h be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\tilde{h}$ be the span of $h, K_1, \dots, K_n$ and $d_1, \dots, d_n$. We call $\tilde{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of τ . Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ be in $\tilde{h}^*$ defined by $\delta_i(h) = 0, \delta_i(K_j) = 0$ and $\delta_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij}$. For $\underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \delta_{\underline{r}} = \sum r_i \delta_i$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ be the root system of $\mathcal{G}$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} \oplus h$ be the root space decomposition. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha + \delta_{\underline{r}}, \delta_{\underline{s}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}, \underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha + \delta_{\underline{r}}} &= \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} \otimes t^{\underline{r}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \tau_{\delta_{\underline{r}}} &= h \otimes t^{\underline{r}}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \underline{r} \neq 0 \\ \tau_0 &= \tilde{h} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly $\tau = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tau_{\alpha}$ is a root space decomposition of τ .

(1.5) Let $G = GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ whose determinant is ± 1 . For every B in G we define an automorphism of τ again denoted by B . Let $\underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\underline{m}^T = B\underline{r}^T$ and $\underline{n}^T = B\underline{s}^T$. Define

$$BX(\underline{r}) = X(\underline{m}), X \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$Bd(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}} = d(t^{\underline{m}})t^{\underline{n}}$$

Let $(d_1^1, \dots, d_n^1)^T = A^{-1}(d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$. Define $Bd_i = d_i^1$. It is easy to check that B is an automorphism of τ .

(1.6) We recall some simple results from [E4].

Let V be irreducible weight module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\tilde{h}$. the following is proved in [E4].

Lemma (1.7) (Lemma (4.3) and (4.4) of [E4]). Let z be in $\mathbb{Z}$ of degree $\underline{m}$.

(1) Suppose $zv \neq 0$ for some v in V . Then $zv \neq 0$ for all non-zero v in V .

(2) Suppose $z \neq 0$. Then there exists a central operator T on V such that $zT = Tz = Id$.

Let $L = \{\underline{r}, -\underline{r} \mid t^{\underline{r}}K_i \neq 0 \text{ on } V \text{ for some } i\}$.

Let T be the $\mathbb{Z}$ linear span of L . Clearly T is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let k be the rank of T . Clearly k is invariant under the automorphism defined above. Now by standard basis theorem there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$ basis $\underline{m}_1, \dots, \underline{m}_n$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $k_1\underline{m}_1, \dots, k_k\underline{m}_k$ is a basis of T for some non-zero integers $k_1, \dots, k_k$. It is a standard fact that there exists a B in G such that $B\underline{m}_i = (0, \dots, 1, 0 \dots 0)$ (1 in the i th place). Thus up to automorphism, we can assume that there exists non-zero central operator $z_1, \dots, z_k$ on V such that their degree are $(k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots (0, \dots k_k, 0 \dots 0)$. We have the following:

Proposition 1.8 (Theorem (4.5) of [E4]). Let V be irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\tilde{h}$. Then up to automorphism the following is true on V .

- (1) There exists non-zero central operators $z_1, \dots, z_k$ of degree $(k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots (0 \dots k_k, 0 \dots 0)$.
- (2) $k < n$
- (3) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0$ for all i and for all $\underline{r}$ such that for some $j, k+1 \leq j \leq n, r_j \neq 0$.
- (4) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \forall \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

- (5) There exists a proper submodule W of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z \oplus D_k$ (where D_k is spanned by $d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n$) such that V/W has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $h \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus D_k$.

Theorem (1.9) Let V be an irreducible τ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\tilde{h}$. Let k be as defined above. Suppose some central operator is non-zero. Then $k = n - 1$.

Before we prove the theorem, we prove an important proposition which is also of independent interest.

Let H be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$. Consider the Lie-algebra $\tilde{H} = H \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}C_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$ with the following Lie bracket

$$(1) [h_1 \otimes t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}, h_2 \otimes t_1^{s_1} t_2^{s_2}] = (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+s_1, 0} \delta_{r_2+s_2, 0} (r_1 C_1 + r_2 C_2).$$

$$(2) C_1, C_2 \text{ are central}$$

$$(3) [d_i, h_1 t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}] = r_i h_1 t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}, [d_i, d_j] = 0.$$

Let $h = H \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}C_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$.

Proposition (1.10) Let W be a h -weight module for $\tilde{H}$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Suppose, at least on C_i acts non trivially. Then such a W does not exists.

Proof The proof is inspired by Futorny's work [F].

First note that $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\tilde{H}$ as automorphism. Now twisting by automorphism we can assume that both central elements act non trivially on W .

Let $W = \bigoplus_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} W_{\underline{n}}$ be the weight space decomposition. Let $h t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2} = h \otimes t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}$.

Choose $h \in H$ such that $(h, h) = 1$. Let $e = ht_1ht_1^{-1}$ and $f = ht_2ht_2^{-1}$. Then e and f leaves each finite dimensional space $W_{\underline{n}}$ invariant.

Fix $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $W_{\underline{n}} \neq 0$. Let v be in $W_{\underline{n}}$ such that, v is a common eigen vector for e and f . Let v be a submodule of W generated by v . Let $V_{\underline{m}} = V \cap W_{\underline{m}}$. We call a vector of the form $h_1t_1^{r_{11}}t_2^{r_{21}} \cdots h_nt_1^{r_{n1}}t_2^{r_{n2}}v$ a monomial. Note that each monomial is a eigen vector for e and f . Conversely any eigen vector of e or f is a linear combination of monomials with same eigenvalue. From Futorny's work (Lemma (4.2) of [F]) it follows that the eigen values of e and f are of the form m_1C_1 and m_2C_2 for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose a eigen vector w in $V_{\underline{n}}$ of eigenvalue m_2C_2 for f such that the absolute value of m_2 is maximal.

Suppose $m_2 > 0$. From the above observations we can assume w is a monomial. Suppose $ew = mw$.

Claim 1 Suppose $m > 0$. Then $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$. Suppose $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 2$ and for some $\ell > 0$. Consider $ht_2ht_2^{-1}ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = m_2C_2ht_1^\ell t_2^k w$. We are using the fact that $k \geq 2$ so that f commutes with $ht_1^\ell t_2^k$. This proves that $ht_2^{-1}ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$. Let $w_s = (ht_2^{-1})^s ht_1^\ell t_2^k w$. We prove by induction on s that $w_s \neq 0$. Consider $ht_2w_{s+1} = fw_s = (s+m_2)w_s$. By induction $w_s \neq 0$ and we know $s+m_2 > 0$. This proves $w_{s+1} \neq 0$. In particular $w_k \neq 0$.

Sub-claim $(ht_1^{-1})^\ell w_k \neq 0$. Consider $ht_1ht_1^{-1}w_k = mw_k$. Since $m \neq 0$, this proves $ht_1^{-1}w_k \neq 0$. Consider $v_s = (ht_1^{-1})^s w_k$. We prove by induction on s that $v_s \neq 0$. Consider $ht_1v_{s+1} = ev_s = (s+m)v_s$. By induction $v_s \neq 0$ and we know that $s+m > 0$. This proves $v_{s+1} \neq 0$. In particular $v_\ell = (ht_1^{-1})^\ell w_k \neq 0$. But $fv_\ell = (k+m_2)v_\ell, v_\ell \in V_{\underline{n}}$. This is a contradiction to the maximality of m_2 . This proves the claim 1.

Claim 2. suppose $m \leq 0$. Then $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell < 0$. Consider $ht_1^{-1}ht_1w = ht_1 \cdot ht_1^{-1}w - C_1w$

$$= (m - 1)C_1w$$

.

Note that $m - 1 < 0$. By arguing as in the claim 1 we prove that

$$(ht_2^{-1})^k ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0.$$

Now we claim that

$$b = (ht_1)^{-\ell}(ht_2^{-1})^k ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0.$$

This can be established by arguing as in the claim 1. Now using $ht_1^{-1}ht_1$ instead of $ht_1ht_1^{-1}$, noting $m - 1 < 0$. Now $fb = (m_2 + k)b$. It is a contradiction to the maximality to m_2 .

Claim 3 $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$ or for all $\ell < 0$ such that $\ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. This follows from claim 1 and 2 by applying $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^k$ on the above vector.

Case 1 Assume $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and all $\ell \geq 1$. Suppose $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$ such that $\ell C + 1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. Then we claim that

$$\{ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w, \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0\}$$

is linearly independent. Suppose the claim is true. Then $V_{\underline{n}+(0,2k)}$ is infinite dimension a contradiction. This prove the proposition.

Suppose

$$\sum a_{\ell_i} ht_1^{-\ell_i} t_2^{-k} ht_1^{\ell_i} t_2^{-k} w = 0.$$

Apply $ht_1^{-\ell_1}t_2^k$ to the above sum. It commutes with the first term. Thus we get

$$a_{\ell_1}ht_1^{-\ell_1}t_2^{-k}(\ell C_1 - kC_2)w.$$

This forces from our assumptions that $a_{\ell_1} = 0$. Similarly $a_{\ell_i} = 0$. Thus the above set is linearly independent. Now suppose $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for some $\ell > 0 \ni \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. Then it follows that $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$. Now consider the set

$$\{ht_1^\ell t_2^k ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w, \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0\}$$

which can be shown to be linearly independent.

This contradicts the finite dimensionality of the weight space.

Case 2 $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell < 0$. This case can be dealt with as in the Case 1.

We are now left with the case $m_2 \leq 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} ht_2^{-1}ht_2w &= ht_2ht_2^{-1}w - C_2w \\ &= (m_2 - 1)C_2w. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have $m_2 - 1 < 0$. Now the above proof works with $ht_2^{-1}ht_2$ instead of $ht_2ht_2^{-1}$. [QED]

We first deduce an interesting corollary. Let $\bar{\tau} = \mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}d_i$ be a Lie-algebra defined by

$$[X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] = [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}) + (X, Y)\delta_{\underline{r}+\underline{s}, 0} \sum r_i K_i$$

K_i are central.

$$[d_i, X(\underline{r})] = r_i X(\underline{r}), \quad [d_i, d_j] = 0.$$

Corollary (1.11) Let V be a weight module for $\bar{\tau}, n \geq 2$ generated by a weight vector with finite dimensional weight spaces. Assume at least one K_i acts non trivially on the generator. Then such a V does not exist.

Proof Follows from the above proposition by restricting the module to the subalgebra generated by $h \otimes t_i^r t_{i\pm 1}^s$.

Proof of the Theorem (1.9).

From Proposition (1.8) we know that $k < n$. Suppose $k < n - 1$.

Case 1 Assume $K_i \neq 0$ on V for some i . Then $i \geq k + 1$ from Proposition (1.8) (4). Let us say $i = k + 1$. Then $k + 2 \leq n$. Consider the Lie sub algebra generated by $ht_k^r t_{k+1}^s$. Consider $[h_1 t_k^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}, h_2 t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2}] = (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2,0} \delta_{s_1+s_2,0} (r_1 K_{k+1} 1 + s_1 K_{k+2})$. This is because $d(t_k^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}) t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2} = 0$ unless $r_1 + r_2 = 0$ and $s_1 + s_2 = 0$ from Proposition (1.8) (3). Now by restriction the module to the above sub algebra, we get a contradiction to Proposition (1.10).

Case 2 Assume $t^m K_i$ is non zero on V . Then by Proposition (1.8) (3), $i \geq k + 1$. Further $m_{k+1} = 0 \cdots = m_n$. Let us say $i = k + 1$. Consider the subalgebra generated by

- (1) $ht_k^m t_{k+1}^r t_{k+1}^s, s > 0$ or $s = 0$ but $r > 0$,
- (2) $ht_k^r t_{k+1}^s, s < 0$ or $s = 0, r < 0$
- (3) ht_k^m .

Consider in the above sub algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} & [h_1 t_k^m t_{k+1}^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}, h_2 t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2}] \\ &= (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+s_1,0} \delta_{r_2+s_2,0} (r_1 t_k^m K_{k+1} + r_2 t_k^m K_{k+2}). \end{aligned}$$

All other brackets of the above sub algebra are zero. This is due to the Proposition (1.8). It is easy to see that the above sub algebra is isomorphic to $\tilde{H}$ defined earlier to Proposition (1.10). By abuse of notation we call the above sub algebra $\tilde{H}$. Now we can not appeal to Proposition (1.10) as the central operator $t^m K_{k+1}$ has a non zero degree. But now by Proposition (1.8) (5), there exists a proper submodule W for $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus \Omega_A / d_A \oplus D_k$ such

that V/W has finite dimensional weight space for $h \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus D_k$. Now in this new module the central operator $t^m K_i$ has zero degree and leaves finite dimensional weight space invariant. We now claim that $t^m K_{k+1}$ is non zero on V/W . Suppose it is zero. Then $t^m K_{k+1} V \subseteq W$. But $V = t^m K_{k+1} V \subseteq W$ a contradiction as W is proper. Thus the $\tilde{H}$ module generated by any $t^m K_{k+1}$ invariant vector in V/W has all the properties of the Proposition 1.10. So such a module does not exist. This proves $k = n - 1$.

(1.12) Let V be an irreducible τ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces. After twisting the module by an automorphism we can assume that the following central operators are zero.

$$\begin{aligned} t^m K_i &= 0 & 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ t^m K_n &= 0 & \text{for } m_n \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n$ with the obvious Lie bracket. Consider the Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = \tau &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\text{off}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D. \\ X \otimes t^x &\rightarrow (X \otimes t_n^{r_n}) \otimes t^{\underline{x}^1} \\ \underline{x}^1 &= (r_1, r_2 \cdots r_{n-1}) \\ t^m K_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 & i = n, m_n \neq 0 \\ K_n \otimes t^m, m_n = 0, i = n \end{cases} \\ d_i &\mapsto d_i \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that φ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism. From the above observation it follows that on an irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces, the $\text{Ker } \varphi$ vanishes after twisting by an automorphism.

Thus we can assume V is actually a module for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D$. Thus we study only such module.

Section 2.

(2.1) We will first introduce some notation

Let $J = \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\pm 1}]$. Let D_k be the linear span of $d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n$. Let $h_k = h \oplus \mathbb{C}k_n \oplus D_k$ so that $h_{k-1} = h_k \oplus \mathbb{C}d_k$ and $h_0 = h \oplus \mathbb{C}k_n \oplus D$.

We start with an irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module V with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_0 . We further assume that there exists non-zero central operators $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$ with degree $(k_1, 0 \dots 0), \dots, (0, \dots 0, k_{n-1}, 0)$ without loss of generality we can assume that each k_i is a positive integer. Our aim in this section is to prove that a maximal $J \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ -sub module W_1 exists such that V/W_1 is an irreducible $J \oplus d_n$ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_{n-1} .

We will first produce an irreducible quotient of V for the Lie-algebra $J \oplus D_1$ with finite dimensional weight space with respect to h_1 .

(2.2) First notice that $\{z_1 v - v \mid v \in V\}$ is a $J \oplus D_1$ proper submodule of V . Thus V is reducible as $J \oplus D_1$ -module. So let W be a non-zero proper $J \oplus D_1$ submodule of V . Let $\bar{\mu}$ be a weight of V with respect to h_0 . Let $\mu = \bar{\mu} \mid h_1$. Let $w \in W$ be any μ -weight vector.

Let $w = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} w_i, w_i \in V_{\bar{\mu} + k_i \delta_i}$ where $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$. Note that $m \geq 2$ as W can not contain h_0 -weight vectors. If it contains then it will not be proper. Define $d(W) = k_\ell - k_1$. A vector w in W is called minimal if $d(W)$ is minimal for all the μ -weight vector. Now one can prove, that $d(W)$ depends on W but not on w . The proof is same as given in Lemma (3.8) of [E2]. (In the proof of the Lemma one has to assume $k_n - k_1 + \ell_1 \leq \ell_m$ to conclude $d(Xw - v) < \ell_m - \ell_1$. The rest of the proofs works as it is). As in the proof of Lemma (3.9) of [E2], we can prove that V/W has finite dimensional weight space for h_1 . We can further prove, as in Proposition

(3.11) of [E2]. V has a maximal $J \oplus D_1$ -submodule so that the quotient is irreducible. Thus we have proved the following:

(2.3) Proposition Let V be as defined in 2.1. Then there exists a maximal $J \oplus D_1$ submodule W_1 of V such that V/W_1 is irreducible and has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_1 .

(2.4) Let V/W_1 as above. Consider $\{z_2v - v \mid v \in V/W_1\}$. This is a $J \oplus D_2$ non-zero submodule of V/W_1 and vectors are not d_2 invariant. But V/W_1 contains d_2 -invariant vector. Thus $\{Z_2v - v \mid v \in V/W_1\}$ is a non-zero proper submodule of V/W_1 . In particular V/W_1 is $J \oplus D_2$ reducible. Now by repeating the above process. We get an irreducible quotient of V/W_1 which $J \oplus D_2$ - module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_2 . By repeating the process $n - 1$ times we have the following theorem.

(2.5) Theorem Let V be as defined in (2.1). Then there exists a maximal $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ submodule W of V so that V/W is an irreducible $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ -module and has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_{n-1} . Further we can choose W such that W contains $\{z_i v - v \mid v \in V, i = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

Section 3

Let V be irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_0 . Assume that there exists non-zero central operators $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}$ of degree $\underline{k}_1 = (k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots \underline{k}_{n-1} = (0, \dots (0, \dots k_{n-1}, 0)$. Let W be a maximal $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ submodule of V so that V/W is irreducible $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ -module with finite dimensional weight space with respect to h_{n-1} . Let $V_1 = V/W$.

(3.1) Lemma Each z in Z acts as scalar on V_1 . Further each z in Z which acts as non-zero on V acts as non-zero scale on V_1 .

Proof First note that for any z that acts non trivially on V is of degree $(*, \dots, *, 0)$. Such a z leaves each finite dimensional weight space of V_1 invariant. Thus z has a eigen vector with eigen value ℓ . Since V_1 is irreducible z acts by the same scalar on the full module V_1 . Suppose z is non-zero on V . Then we claim $\ell \neq 0$. Suppose $\ell = 0$ then it follows that $zV \subseteq W$. But $V = zV \subseteq W$ which contradicts the fact that W is proper. So $\ell \neq 0$. This proves the Lemma.

(3.2) Let V_1 be as above. We now define $J \oplus D$ module structure on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Recall $J = \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let $\overline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Let $X(\overline{m}) = X \otimes t^{\overline{m}}, X \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}}, t^{\overline{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \in A_{n-1}$. Define a module structure $\pi(\alpha)$ on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha) \cdot X(\overline{m})v(\overline{n}) &= (X(\overline{m})v)(\overline{m} + \overline{n}) \\ d_n v(\overline{n}) &= (d_n v)(\overline{n}) \\ d_i v(\overline{n}) &= (\alpha_i + n_i)v(\overline{n}), 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this section is to prove that $(V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}, \pi(\alpha))$ is completely reducible and V is isomorphic to some component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ for a suitable α . First consider the $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ map S from $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1} \rightarrow V_1$ given by

$$S(v(\overline{n})) = v.$$

(3.3) Lemma Let W be any non-zero $J \oplus D$ -submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

- (1) $S(W) = V_1$
- (2) If λ is a weight of V_1 then $\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}$ is a weight of W for some $\overline{m}$.

Proof Since V_1 is irreducible, it is sufficient to prove that $S(W)$ is non-zero. But W is h_0 weight module and hence contains vectors of the form $v(\overline{m})$. Then $S(v(\underline{m})) = v \neq 0$.

(2) Let $w \in V_1$ be a weight vector of weight λ . Then there exists $v \in W$ such that $S(w) = v$. Now write $w = \sum w_{\overline{m}}$. Since w is a weight module it follows that $w_{\overline{m}} \in W$. Thus (2) follows.

(3.4) Suppose z_i acts by ℓ_i on V_1 and we know that $\ell_i \neq 0$.

Consider $z_i v(\overline{m}) = \ell_i v(\overline{m} + \underline{k}_i)$. Clearly z_i is central operator and invertible on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let

$$\Gamma = k_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus k_2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to see that for each $\overline{m} \in \Gamma$ there exists a central operator $z_{\overline{m}}$ on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ which is invertible.

Let W be a submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

We claim then $\dim W_\lambda = \dim W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$ for all $\underline{m} \in \Gamma$. Note that $z_{\overline{m}} W_\lambda \subseteq W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$ and equality holds as $z_{\overline{m}}$ is invertible. Thus the claim follows. let λ be a fixed weight of V_1 . Let $K(W) = \sum_{0 \leq m_i < k_i} \dim (W_{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{m}}})$.

Now from Lemma 3.3 (2) and the above claim it follows that $K(W) \neq 0$.

(3.5) Proposition $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ contains an irreducible submodule.

Proof We will prove that for any non-zero submodule W of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ either W contains an irreducible submodule or W contains a module $W_1 \ni K(W_1) < K(W)$. Fix a non-zero submodule W of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Consider $S = \bigoplus_{\overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a submodule of W generated by S . Then clearly $K(\tilde{W}) = K(W)$. Suppose $\tilde{W}$ has a proper submodule W_1 .

Claim $K(W_1) < K(\tilde{W})$. Suppose the claim is false. Consider

$$(*) \quad W_1 \cap (V_1 \otimes A_{n-1})_{\lambda + \delta_{\bar{m}}} \subseteq \tilde{W} \cap (V_1 \otimes A_{n-1})_{\lambda + \delta_{\bar{m}}}.$$

Now $K(W_1) = K(\tilde{W})$ implies that equality holds for all $\bar{m} \ni 0 \leq m_i < k_i$. Now by the claim in (3.4) it follows that equality holds in $*$ for all $\bar{m}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. This proves W_1 contain S and hence contain $\tilde{W}$. This contradicts the fact that W_1 is proper in $\tilde{W}$. Hence the claim. Now by repeating the above process we get an irreducible submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. We now prove complete reducibility of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

(3.6) Let W be an irreducible submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. We have fixed a weight λ of V_1 . By Lemma 3.3 (b) there exists a weight vector v in V of weight λ such that $v(\bar{r}) \in W$.

Let $\bar{U}$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $J \oplus D$. Write

$$\bar{U} = \otimes_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}_{\bar{m}}$$

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{m}} = \{X \in U \mid [d_i, X] = r_i X, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$$

Clearly $\bar{U}v(\bar{r}) = W$ where $\bar{U}v(\bar{r})$ is the $J \oplus D$ -module generated by $v(\bar{r})$

(3.7) Lemma (1) $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module for any $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.

$$(2) \quad \sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}.$$

Proof Consider the map $\varphi : \bar{U}v(\bar{r}) \rightarrow \bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ given by $\varphi(w(\underline{k})) = w(\bar{k} + \bar{s} - \bar{r})$. It is a $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ module map. But need not be $J \oplus D$ - module map. Nevertheless it is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible.

Claim $\sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let $w(\underline{s}) \in V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$, $w \in V_1$. Since V_1 is irreducible there exists $X \in \bar{U} \ni Xv = w$. Write $X = \sum X_{\bar{r}}$ where $X_{\bar{r}} \in \bar{U}_{\bar{r}}$.

Consider

$$\sum X_{\bar{r}} \cdot v(\bar{s} - \bar{r}) = \sum (X_{\bar{r}}v)(\bar{s}) = w(\bar{s}).$$

This proves the claim. Now recall that there exists a non-zero central operator $z_{\bar{m}}$ for any $\bar{m} \in \Gamma$. Further $z_{\bar{m}}$ is invertible.

Thus $z_{\bar{m}}\bar{U}v(\bar{r}) = U(\bar{r} + \bar{m})$. From this it follows that

$$\sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = \sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}).$$

(3.8) Proposition $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ is completely reducible as $J \oplus D$ -module.

Proof We have already seen that $\sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. The sum is finite. Let $T = \{\underline{s} \mid 0 \leq s_i < k_i\}$. Suppose $\bar{U}v(\bar{s}) \cap \sum_{\substack{\underline{r} \in T \\ \underline{r} \neq \underline{s}}} \bar{U}v(\bar{r}) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible it follows that $\bar{U}v(\bar{s}) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{\bar{r} \in T \\ \bar{s} \neq \bar{r}}} \bar{U}v(\bar{r})$. Thus we have reduced the finite sum by 1. Repeating this process we can replace the $\sum$ by direct sum with fewer terms.

Let $\bar{U} = \oplus_{\eta \in h_0^*} \bar{U}_\eta$, $\bar{U}_\eta = \{X \in \bar{U} \mid [h, X] = \eta(h)X \forall h \in h_0\}$. Let U be the universal enveloping algebra of $J \oplus D_n$. Let $U = \oplus_{\eta \in h_\eta \in h_{n-1}^*} U_\eta$, $U_\eta = \{X \in U \mid [h, X] = \eta(h)X \forall h \in h_{n-1}\}$

(3.9) Theorem V is isomorphic to a component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ as $J \oplus D$ -module.

Proof It can be proved similar to the techniques of 3.13, 3.14, 3.15 and 3.16 of [E2]. The U and $\bar{U}$ is taken as defined by us. The d in [E2] is taken as the space spanned by $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}$. We do not need the condition (2.2) of [E2]. In stead we have non-zero central operators $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$ which are invertible in V as well as $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

(3.10) Remark The study of irreducible τ -modules with finite dimensional weight space where some part of the center acts non-trivially is now reduced to the study of irreducible modules of $J \oplus D_n$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. The advantage of the later modules is that the infinite dimensional center acts as scalars.

REFERENCES

- [BC] Berman, S. and Cox, B. Enveloping algebras and representations of toroidal Lie-algebras, Pacific Journal of Math. 165 (1994), 239-267.
- [CO] Cox, Ben. Two Realizations of Toroidal $s\ell_2(\mathbb{C})$, Contemporary Mathematics, 297, (2002), 47-68.
- [CT] Chari, V. and Thang Le, Representations of double affine Lie- algebras, Preprint, arxiv / org - 0205312.
- [E1] Eswara Rao, S. Iterated loop modules and a filtration for vertex representation of toroidal Lie-algebras, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 171 (2), (1995), 511-528.
- [E2] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of loop modules with finite dimensional weight spaces, Math. Anal., 305 (1996), 651-663.
- [E3] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces, Journal of Algebra, 246, (2001), 215-225.
- [E4] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie-algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces, TIFR Preprint (2001) arxiv / org. 0209060.

- [EM] Eswara Rao, S. and Moody, R.V. Vertex representations for n -toroidal Lie-algebras and a generalization of Virasoro algebra, *Comm. Math. Physics*, 159 (1994), 239-264.
- [EMY] Eswara Rao, S., Moody, R.V., and Yokonuma, T. Toroidal Lie-algebras and vertex representations, *Geom. Ded.* 35, (1990), 283-307.
- [FK] Futorny, V. and Kashuba, I. Verma type modules for toroidal Lie algebras, *Comm.in Math.* 27 (8), (1999), 3979-3991.
- [F] Futorny, V. Representations of affine Lie-algebras, *Queen's papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (1997).
- [JMT] Jing, N., Misra, K. and Tan, S. Bosonic realization of higher level toroidal Lie-algebra, Preprint 2002.
- [K] Kassel, C., Kahler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie-algebra extended over a commutative algebra, *J Pure Appl. Algebra*, 34 (1985), 266-275.
- [MY] Morita, J. and Yoshi, Y. Universal central extensions of Chevalley Algebras over Laurent polynomials rings and GIM Lie algebra, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser.A* 61 (1985), 179-181.
- [Y] Youngsun, Yoon. On the polynomial representations of current algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 252 (2) (2002), 376-393.